

## Only one word for the passing of the Hong Kong Chinese bill...

# BETRAYAL!

IN THE EVENING of Thursday, April 19th, Britain's parliament committed an act of treason against the British Nation. In just the latest of a series of betrayals extending back many years, it voted by 313 to 216 to grant UK passports to 225,000 Hong Kong Chinese. The scale of the betrayal is seen as much greater than is indicated by these figures when it is realised that, of the votes against the bill to let the Chinese in, 172 came from Labour MPs who were opposed to the bill only because they regarded it as 'elitist', favouring a particular section of the Hong Kongers on grounds of superior education and professional status — in other words the bill to let the Chinese in was not 'liberal' enough! Only 44 voted against the bill on the grounds that it represented a breaking of election promises, made by Mrs. Thatcher back in 1979 and reaffirmed again in 1983 and 1987, not to allow any further large-scale immigration into Britain.

The 44 dissenters were back-bench Tory MPs led by Norman Tebbit — something of a latecomer in the way of converts to the anti-immigration cause (we didn't hear a peep from him on the subject when he was a Government Minister). Up to the time of the vote in the Commons, it was expected that Mr. Tebbit would succeed in mobilising the support of as many as 80 Tory members, but this threatened revolt duly fizzled out. Nearly half of those who had given every indication that they would vote against the admission of the Chinese jumped back into line like obedient curs when the crunch came. Thus was the 'rebellion' overwhelmingly defeated.

We have said that the carrying of the bill was an act of treason against this nation, and we don't think we're exaggerating by using such language. There is not the slightest doubt from opinion polls that the great majority of the British people oppose any further large-scale immigration, and it was, as stated, on the basis of promises not to allow this that Mrs. Thatcher went to the nation in three elections over the past 11 years. We have said again and again that the so-called 'Iron Lady' is not the great 'patriot' she is painted as being by the

is a demonstration that it is nothing of the kind. Parliament again and again has defied the will of the British people. 'Democracy' is just a sham.

The decision to let in the Hong Chinese should at least have one beneficial result. It should demonstrate once and for all that the only way remaining for the British people to repossess their

### THE FACES OF TREASON



Margaret Thatcher (left), Neil Kinnock (centre) and Paddy Ashdown (right): These are the leaders of the three main parties at Westminster. All have supported, and indeed connived in, continued mass immigration into this country, despite the fact that the great majority of British people are firmly against it.



Tory press. This has once more been proved by her playing a leading role in winning support for the bill to let the Chinese in.

### NATION CAN EXPECT NOTHING IN THE WAY OF ACTION FROM TORIES

What is also demonstrated by the large majority the bill received is that Britain can hope for nothing in the way of serious resistance to immigration from the Tories — the vast majority in

parliament of whom supported the new influx of Chinese. No doubt this was influenced at least in part by the expectation that most of these newcomers, belonging to the affluent business and professional classes, will vote Conservative once they settle here!

According to the theory of 'democracy', parliament is the institution through which the will of the people will prevail in national affairs. As so often in the past, the approval of this bill

country from the immigrant invaders lies through Nationalism — not Conservatism. And the only serious nationalist movement in Britain today is the British National Party. The final decade of this century will be the decade of decision as to whether Britons will have a country of their own in the century to follow. It must be in this decade that the British people give to the BNP the support that it needs to win the coming battle for Britain's survival!

## BNP candidates poll well in London council elections

BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY candidates obtained some very encouraging results in the local government elections held on May 3rd.

The seats fought by the BNP were all in or close to the London area — chosen as a testing ground for future campaigns that will extend nationwide. While the figures achieved do not yet match those obtained by nationalist candidates in the 1970s, they are a vast improvement on


what was accomplished in the decade following, and they indicate that the British political climate is at last changing in a way favourable to a big nationalist comeback.

The best of all the results obtained was that by Stephen Smith in the Holy Trinity Ward of Tower Hamlets. Mr. Smith attracted 290 votes, which came to 9.7 per-cent of the total poll. He and all of his co-workers deserve our

congratulations.

Also an admirable result was the one achieved by Steve Tyler in the Rotherhithe Ward of Bermondsey in elections to Southwark Borough Council. Mr. Tyler obtained 180 votes (7 per-cent of the total) and this was especially praised.

STEVE TYLER  
Good first effort in Bermondsey



## What about the Armagh Four?

REMEMBER the Guildford Four? They were the Irish Republican sympathisers who were jailed for the Guildford IRA bombing back in the 1970s and recently released after a massive campaign in support of them conducted by the mass media — with every good lefty and 'liberal' taking up their cause.

They may or may not have been guilty of the bombing — we will probably never know for certain.

But what about the Armagh Four? How many people have heard of them? Not many, we

Contd. on page 5

### THE FOUR



HAGAN: pro-British officer



ALLEN: "Confession trick"



LATIMER: Named as gunman



BELL: Punched in testicles



## British Nationalist

No. 101 MAY/JUNE 1990  
Editor: John Morse

### THE FUTURE UNDER LABOUR

AS PREDICTED, Labour made substantial gains in the nationwide local government elections taking place on May 3rd. They could hardly have done otherwise; the Tories, by their suicidal introduction of the poll tax, presented victory to them on a plate.

So what do we now have to look forward to with a vastly greater number of local authorities under Labour control?

We can expect the profligate spending of the people's money on an ever greater number of nutty schemes hatched in the twisted brains of far-left ideologists. We can expect more money than ever before to be lavished on 'minority' causes, such as Black, Asian and Gay 'rights'. We can expect more subsidies to third-rate monkey daubers in the name of 'art', more help for degenerate playwrights in the name of 'theatre', more promotion of jungle noise in the name of 'music'. A further proliferation of 'workshops' specialising in cultural garbage is certain to occur. More open spaces and green fields in our urban areas, so necessary for healthy leisure pursuits on the part of our young people, is likely to be commandeered for 'development', as Labour councils find themselves running out of money and need to turn local amenities into enterprises capable of earning a 'fast buck'.

In addition to all these things, we can expect a stepping up of the activities of mini-KGBs created by lefty councils to snoop on and persecute local citizens belonging to the indigenous population who fail to fall into line with the new dispensation that Labour's toytown revolutionaries are planning for them. Council tenants who display tendencies towards 'racism' (i.e. an unpreparedness to bow and scrape to ethnic minorities) can expect to become entries in town hall files, and in due course, if they do not change their ways, to be flung out of their homes. Schoolteachers (if there are any left!) who dare to suggest to their pupils that men and women are different will be branded as 'sexist' and probably will lose their jobs. An intensification can be expected in the realm of purging school textbooks and library shelves of all writings suggestive of 'sexist' or 'racist' sentiments. Council employees will no doubt have to waste more and more of the taxpayers' time attending 'racial awareness' course (always, naturally, presided over by immigrants).

In the meantime, education will plunge to ever lower levels. Streets, squares and other public places will look ever more like rubbish tips. At the same time the money that could have been spent on them, aside from being frittered away on the loony projects already mentioned, will also go to finance yet more junketings and pleasure trips abroad for Labour councillors and their wives and concubines.

This is what we can expect with the big Labour gains made on May 3rd. Britain's voters have simply jumped from the frying pan into the fire. From the muddle and chaos of Thatcherism they have turned to the petty tyranny of Neil Kinnock and his town hall commissars. What an alternative! What a pair of choices! What a prospect for poor, poor Britain!

# PATHETIC!

Authorities' response to Strangeways riot was pure capitulation, says JEFFREY TURNER

THE 24-DAY MUTINY in Strangeways Prison, Manchester, finally ended on the evening of Wednesday, April 25th — 23 days after it should have done.

During this time the world watched, incredulous as Britain's prison and political authorities stood paralysed in the face of a bunch of cold and hungry jailbirds whose only weapons were the bits and pieces of furniture and fittings they managed to break up in the course of their riotous rampage. The mutineers achieved everything they set out to achieve: they pranced and clowned and shouted on the roof of the jail while the world's TV cameras trained respectfully on them. They lit fires and smashed parts of the building, all of which has resulted, according to the latest estimates, in more than £80 million worth of damage, to be paid by the British taxpayer. They succeeded in making total fools of authority, and in the process of Britain as well. It was indeed appropriate that the mutiny began on April 1st!

And, not least, the success of the mutineers in cocking a snook at those supposed to be in charge was well noted by convicts in other prisons, who duly staged their own riots, causing yet more damage to be paid for by the taxpayer.

### WHY NO ACTION?

Meanwhile the British public was totally baffled. Why the hell, thought nearly everyone, here and no doubt overseas, did the authorities not send in a strong-arm squad during the first 24 hours after the mutiny started? The answer given to this question by spokesmen of the prison service and Home Office, to which it is responsible, was utterly pathetic.

Immediate action, they said, was not taken because it might have resulted in serious injury, even death, to those involved.

This simply will not do. Provided that those sent in to put down the mutiny were adequately armed and equipped, and deployed in a professional manner, the prospect that any of its members would suffer serious injury or death was remote indeed — but even in the event of there being a slight risk of this that is a hazard that all men employed in law-enforcement accept as being part of the job.

Clearly, what the apologists for the 'softly-softly' approach were thinking of when they spoke of the risk of injury or death was injury or death to the rioting prisoners.

To which the immediate reply should be: so what?

Let us just remind ourselves of what kind of people these prisoners were: they comprised the criminal scum of society. They were rapists, murderers and thugs to whom we owe nothing. What if one or two of them did get badly hurt, even killed? Would the people of this country be supposed to weep into their pillows at night on that account?

One of the apologists for the feeble tactics employed was Home Office Minister David Mellor, who said at the end of the mutiny: "These men are in prison and are likely to be in prison for a good deal longer.



ALLOWED TO GET AWAY WITH IT FOR FAR TOO LONG  
Prisoners staging one of their daily demos on the roof of Strangeways

Would you have preferred that we had knocked them off the roof and people had seen them falling head first down five storeys?"

This indeed is exactly what most members of the British public would have preferred — if it had been necessary as a means of ending the mutiny quickly and with minimum damage. However, it is hardly likely that it would have come to that. A professionally deployed riot squad could have got into the building with minimal risk to themselves, as stated. They then could have commandeered all the supplies of food and drink as well as apprehending all of the rioters in there. As far as the men on the roof were concerned, there then would have been two options.

Either they could have been starved out — in which case most of them would soon have given themselves up out of thirst and hunger. This apart, they presumably would have needed before long to come off the roof simply in order to use the prison latrines.

The alternative option — a tougher one but one that would not have been inappropriate in the situation — would have been to show the rooftop revellers the

barrels of a few marksmen's rifles, and inform them that they had precisely five minutes to come down. If any were still on the roof by that time, two warning shots should have been fired over their heads. If that did not move them, they should thereupon have been efficiently picked off.

And what would have been the result of this? Britain's population of criminal garbage would have been reduced by a dozen or so. Would that have been any frightful tragedy?

### SELF-CONGRATULATION


The mutiny eventually ended in an orgy of mutual self-congratulation on the part of all those responsible for the long drawn-out fiasco. Home Secretary David Waddington announced that he considered the tactics used to be thoroughly justified.

In fact, it was Waddington who, throughout this whole miserable affair, demonstrated a lamentable lack of leadership.

Shortly before, it had been revealed that the Prison Governor Brendan O'Friel had at one time been prepared to send a strong-arm

squad in to put down the mutiny but had been overruled by a superior by means of a last-minute telephone call. Who did the overruling? Almost certainly, so it appears, it was the Director General of Prisons, Mr. Brian Emes. However, it is quite certain that Mr. Emes would never have made such a ruling without extensive prior consultation with the Home Office. Ultimately, the decision not to go in was a Home Office decision. Even if Emes had recommended inaction, the Home Office could have overruled him. It didn't. And this amounted to an abysmal failure of will.

The Strangeways mutiny proved once again what had already been amply proved by the black riots in our inner cities in the early to middle 1980s: that this Thatcher Government — this allegedly 'law-and-order' Government — can be relied upon invariably to capitulate in the face of whatever aggressive and angry mob happens to capture the



WADDINGTON  
Total failure of leadership

spotlight of the moment.

It all amounts to just one more piece of proof of the Government's cowardice and weakness — and one more vivid explanation of why crime and violence in Britain are getting completely out of control!

## IT'S YOUR CALL, DUCKIE!

Poofters set up their radio station down Nottingham way

IF YOU'RE GAY, and live in the Nottingham area, there's a new radio station nearby, churning out programmes — just for you!

This was the news contained in a press cutting sent us by a reader in that part of the country recently. The cutting featured a report of the setting up of Centre 238, a radio network run by, and for, queers. The network, run by one Andy Lloyd and financed by profits from his badge-making business, was given a gushing write-up by Amanda Whittington in the Nottingham Evening Post, no doubt in the hope of putting some listeners Andy's way. According to the report: "Broadcasting from a well-equipped station high above Alfreton Road, the station is looking to the ear of one of our largest minority groups."

"The Gay community covers the entire UK," says Lloyd. "Whatever your politics or sexuality, you have to acknowledge there are a lot of us."

Money, according to the report, is the

least of the station's problems. "We're desperate for people," says Andy, who goes on to call for new presenters. Do they have to be gay? "No," he says, "... We were set up to serve that community, but we want to represent all walks of life."

Centre 238, continued the report, is "aiming to reach a national audience and has plans to lay a land line from London to serve the South East. Centre 238, Miss Whittington said, "really can claim to offer freedom of speech."

The report ends with the statement that "Potential contributors are guaranteed a welcome reception — Andy awaits your call on Nottingham (0602) 422651."

Well, we don't know about the readers of the Nottingham Evening Post, but speaking for ourselves at British Nationalist, we feel sure that there will be many of our readers who would be only too pleased to ring Mr. Andy Lloyd at the number stated and offer him their comments — on such



Andy Lloyd, boss of Centre 238. He says he would like to hear from you.

topics as, for instance, the plans the BNP has for establishments like his own when it finally comes to power!



## MUCH NONSENSE OVER THE NATIONAL ANTHEM

According to recent reports, the Church of England has adopted a new version of 'God Save The Queen' which it is recommended should be standard singing at Remembrance Day services for the future. In this new version the first and last verses stay the same as before but the middle verse is completely changed, so as to project internationalist and pacifist, rather than patriotic, sentiments.

In the old and currently employed version of the Anthem, the middle verse goes like this:-

"Oh Lord our God arise,  
Scatter our enemies,  
And make them fall;  
Confound their politics,  
Frustrate their knavish tricks,  
On thee our hopes we fix;  
God save us all!"

In the new version of this middle verse the following words are substituted:-

"Nor on this land alone —  
But be God's mercies known  
From shore to shore,  
Lord, make the nations see

That men should brothers be,  
And form one family  
The wide world o'er."

This alternative middle verse apparently is not new; it was written and published as long ago as 1836 by William Edward Hickson, a London boot manufacturer. The decision to use it instead of the original verse was made by the Archdeacon of Leicester, the Ven. David Silk, who found it in a 1906 version of the English Hymnal.

Speaking for myself, I am inclined to think that the words in the older version of the middle verse of the Anthem are silly and inane — in particular the lines saying "Confound their politics" and "Frustrate their knavish tricks." The words lend them-

selves to ridicule and should never have been adopted in the first place.

Nevertheless, there is nothing whatever wrong with the sentiments the words express. In the real world — as distinct from the fairytale world inhabited by Church of England clerics — nations DO have enemies, and are bound to guard themselves against those enemies. In a NATIONAL ANTHEM, words conducive to the maintenance of this guardedness are entirely appropriate. The line in the alternative version which says that "men should brothers be" is not dissimilar to a line in Schiller's 'Ode to Joy', adopted by Beethoven in his Choral Symphony. However, the Choral

Symphony was not written as a national anthem. God Save The Queen was, and that is the difference.

All this having been said, we should hardly be surprised that the CofE has put forward the new version. Everywhere the Church today is to be found in the vanguard of those movements and tendencies aimed at breaking down Britain's national defences and national consciousness, and the same can be said of the Church of Scotland, it hardly needs be said. The Church today has become a fifth column in Britain's midst, and indeed it is as much an enemy as any of those forces described in the older version of the Anthem.

What we should really be

thinking about is not a scheme to make our National Anthem less national and patriotic but one to make it much more so. We should be looking for a much more stirring tune, to begin with, and one which moreover has true British roots, as 'God Save The Queen' does not. As for the words, these should reflect first and foremost a RACIAL patriotism rather than be dedicated to the ruling Monarch. I am not here advocating republicanism, only a sense of perspective. The Monarch should, after all, be the servant of the nation and people, not the other way round.

As for the melody, Elgar's 'Land of Hope and Glory' has been touted, and this would certainly be an improvement on 'The Queen'. Speaking for myself, I have a preference for the tune used in 'Land of my Fathers' — if the Welsh would not mind us extending its use over the whole Kingdom.

What do you think?

JAMES THURGOOD

### BUCKINGHAM PALACE AND DOWNING ST. CLASH OVER EVERYONE'S FAVOURITE TERRORIST

NOTHING better illustrated the sickness that prevails in high circles in Britain today than the goings on last month between Buckingham Palace and Downing Street concerning the pending visit of Nelson Mandela.

The Queen, it appears, is absolutely enraptured with Saint Nelson, and wanted to make him a Companion of Honour, which is ranked equal to a knighthood — this to be done on the occasion of his arrival in this country. It is said that Her Majesty even hopes that a black-dominated South Africa (Azania) under Mandela will rejoin the Commonwealth, from which it withdrew nearly thirty years ago.



MANDELA  
Courtied by  
both Queen  
and Premier

But this, it seems, did not please Mrs. Thatcher. Why? Because the Premier had invited Mandela to be a guest at No. 10 Downing Street and had been snubbed by the ANC leader's refusal. It would look very bad, said a representative of No. 10, if The Queen bestowed an honour on Nelson after he had delivered two fingers to the Prime Minister.

The latest seems to be that the Palace and Downing Street are getting together to work out a compromise under which 'Mr. Mandela would meet both The Queen and Mrs. Thatcher in Britain later in the year. That, it seems, would make everybody happy.

Doesn't it make you want to vomit?



THE QUEEN  
Wanted to honour Mandela

## Who is behind this sickening Mandela cult?

NELSON MANDELA is a terrorist. He was jailed in South Africa in 1962 for conspiring to let loose a series of bombings and murders in that country aimed at destabilising its Government and preparing the way for an armed black takeover. At his trial at the time he did not even attempt to deny the charges against him.

And is he now, 28 years later, a reformed character, willing to denounce terrorism as a means of pursuing his political ends? No, he clearly is not. He refused to give the present South African Government an undertaking of any such renunciation when he was offered release from prison as a reward for doing so. Eventually, in February of this year, he was released anyway. No sooner had he walked out of the prison gates was he making a speech in front of a baying African mob in which he affirmed that he still supported 'armed struggle', i.e. terrorism. Mandela is, in other words, exactly the same man as he was when he was sent to jail in 1962. He has not changed one iota.

### IDOL OF THE BRITISH MASS MEDIA

And yet, strangely, it is this man who has now become the idol of the British mass media, as well as being treated and spoken of respectfully by British politicians of all parties, including the

Prime Minister herself, who has been loud in her condemnations of terrorism wherever in the world it has manifested itself.

On Monday, April 16th, a massive 'pop' concert was held in honour of Mandela at Wembley Stadium, no less, with the stadium filled almost to



FESTIVAL OF DEGENERACY  
The Mandela concert at Wembley

capacity. For hour after hour the audience — a mainly white audience, it might be added — listened to the endless beat of jungle rhythm as it cheered and swooned while Mandela himself sat in the VIP box acknowledging the adulation of his frenzied followers. As a festival of white

degeneracy, Britain had never quite seen anything like it before, even on the occasion of the previous Mandela concert in the same stadium when the would-be conquering hero was still in jail in South Africa.

The BBC, no doubt determined to live up to its reputation as a worshipper of Black Nationalists, devoted the whole evening on its BBC2 channel to a coverage of the concert. It was estimated that around the world untold hundreds of millions of people in 60 countries tuned into the concert — by kind permission of TV satellite. A large part of this TV audience was also white — notwithstanding that it was South African Whites against whom Mandela's terrorist activities were mainly directed.

We have got to ask: what is the matter with so many millions of the white race, here and overseas, that they heap this kind of adulation on one of their race's foremost enemies?

### MASS INSANITY

But this is not all. A veritable Mandela cult has been promoted, here and in other white lands around the world. Not only are 'pop' concerts held in salute to him but streets, squares and parks are named after him. Many thousands of schoolteachers up and own Britain are lecturing to their pupils on what a great man he is. Politicians are falling over each other to meet him and shake his hand.

Have we all gone mad?

Or is there, behind this Mandela cult, some sinister force at work, brainwashing millions of white people in Britain and around the world into

regarding as an international hero a butcher and thug who has dedicated his life to fighting and destroying our own white people in South Africa, the racially related Afrikaners and the English-speaking South Africans of mainly British descent?

We deliberately use the word 'sinister' because that is the only apt word to describe the Mandela mania now raging everywhere. Someone, somewhere is promoting this mania, knowing exactly what they are doing, even if the mindless, pop-drugged herds of morons jiggling and screaming at Wembley did not.

Who then is making Nelson Mandela, professional terrorist, into a combination of god and saint? And for what purpose? When we know the answer to that question we will know the answers, no doubt, to many other questions posed by the crazy, demented world we live in.


At least for the time being we who publish this paper and that growing circle of people who read it can dissociate ourselves utterly from the sickening and nauseating Mandela cult, and label that creature for what he is: a murderer who should still be behind bars — no, better still, who should have been hanged for his crimes. And we can at the same time dissociate ourselves from the equally sickening and nauseating campaign to bring down the Whites in South Africa and hand that country over to the chaos, barbarism and corruption that have become the norm almost everywhere else on the African continent.

### "I can give a better clenched-fist salute than you can!"

THE TORIES are making a big song and dance at the moment over Neil Kinnock's well publicised 'Black Power' salute given at Wembley when the Labour leader was there to attend the Mandela 'pop' concert last month. The salute, represented by a vertically outstretched arm and a clenched fist, is also, needless to say, the internationally recognised communist salute (probably no coincidence!)

We are the first to agree that Mr. Kinnock was acting stupidly. He is a silly little man who cannot resist showing off in public. The salute, however, is probably a true indication of his real sympathies.

The Tories should not make too big a thing of this though. Their own Sir Geoffrey Howe, when visiting Mozambique two years ago gave exactly the same greeting. Was this also a case of the true feelings coming out?



HOWE  
Mozambique 1988



KINNOCK  
Wembley 1990



# GLORIFYING HUMAN GARBAGE

## How gangsters, murderers and terrorists are being turned into folk heroes

AS MENTIONED in another article in this issue, freed black South African red terrorist Nelson Mandela came to Britain in April to take the salute in a 'pop' concert staged at London's Wembley Stadium in his honour. The whole affair was massively televised by the BBC. While he and his wife were in this country, they and their entourage were given five-star treatment at London's Churchill Hotel. Bankrolling all this to the tune of £100,000, according to one report, was none other than cosmopolitan media multi-billionaire Robert Maxwell (originally Jan Ludwig Hoch from Czechoslovakia) and now proprietor of the *Daily Mirror*.

As some might say, that figures. The masters of the mass media in Britain, as elsewhere in the 'democratic' West, are bound in a common brother-

hood with the kind of big international money men who stand to gain from replacing civilised white rule in South Africa with the kind of gangster rule that that country will get if Mandela & Co. ever take over.

The mass media promotion of criminal thugs does not stop there, however. It seems that some of our home-grown gangsters are to be given a piece of the same sort of glamorous action.

Just as Mandela was being glorified before the eyes of the gaping multitudes, it was announced that the as yet still jailed Kray twins were to receive £255,000 between them as their slice of the profit from a new film depicting their own brutal career of crime.

This film, in which the Krays are played by two pop singers, Gary and

Martin Kemp, was described by a *Daily Telegraph* reviewer as an exercise in "glamorising the squalid and cynical world of violent crime." The film wholly underplays the actual horror of the Kray gang's reign of terror over an East London community, where they enforced their racketeering with a number of gory murders until imprisoned some twenty years ago. Shades here of Mandela's township terrorism from the 1950s up to the present.

### RONNIE'S PRIDE

Interestingly, before Maxwell took it over, the *Mirror* had already played a role in giving the Krays a platform. In an interview given to the paper from prison in the early 1980s, Ronnie Kray was quoted as saying that he was especially

proud of two things: his homosexuality and his part-Jewish origins. He also went out of his way to stress his hatred for 'racism' and 'fascism'.

It was almost as if he had been coached in what to say in order to win the grace and favour of those who set the tone in modern Britain. Like Mandela, he and his kind never lacked friends in high places in this country.

To be sure, the Krays have not yet, like Mandela, managed to line up the Prime Minister and the Leader of the Opposition on their side. Mrs. Thatcher has not yet thought it worth her while to demand the twins' freedom, as she did Mandela's. Nor has Neil Kinnock been photographed giving salutes in their honour, as he did at the Wembley Mandela jamboree in April.

But Ronnie could still number queer left-wing Labour MP Tom Driberg among his friends. Another such was flamboyant bow-tied Tory, Lord Boothby.

four convicted murderers of PC Keith Blakelock in the 1985 Tottenham riot get this treatment. Last month, black US presidential candidate Jesse Jackson was present at Wembley where he called Mandela "the new Christ." He then visited the families of three of those convicted of hacking Blakelock to death, proclaiming that they "didn't get a fair trial." The leader of the crazed 'Black Power' mob that carried out the killing, Winston Silcott, has already been made Honorary President of the London School of Economics Students' Union by its red controllers. It may only be a matter of time before the mass media, and others in places of influence, take up his cause and he follows Mandela to freedom, if not to the same kind of artificially-engendered public glory.

It is already starting to look as if the unleashing of this kind of scum on the world is the real cause for which Nelson Mandela has been turned by the media into the global figurehead.



GLAMORISING THE SCUM OF THE EARTH  
A scene from the TV film featuring the Kray brothers

## As the Kremlin comes clean over the Katyn massacre... STORY OF A COVER-UP UNVEILED

AT LONG LAST the Soviet Government has officially confessed what has been widely known for years: that its predecessor under Stalin was itself definitely responsible for the infamous massacre in 1940 of 15,000 Polish officers, taken prisoner the year before by the Red Army in its invasion of Eastern Poland. 4,000 of the bodies of these officers were unearthed from gruesome mass graves by German forces in 1943, but until last month the Soviets had claimed that it was the Germans themselves who had carried out these killings.

If nothing else, the whole affair throws a strange light on the British Government's claims about the motives behind its actions and policies both during and since the war. From the very first, Britain carried out a deliberate cover-up, going out of its way to protect the Soviets from blame for Katyn, and this cover-up has continued practically right up to the present day, with the public being misled into believing that the Germans were the culprits.

Yet there has never been the slightest

doubt of where the real guilt lay. The overwhelming burden of evidence has always been that Stalin's secret police carried out the shootings of the Polish officers.

Indeed as early as 1943 and 1944 Britain's own diplomatic representative to the Polish Government-in-Exile said as much in conclusive dispatches to his superiors at the Foreign Office.

But Churchill and his wartime Government chose to deny all such reports. This was undoubtedly because at the time they were claiming to have involved Britain in the war against Germany in order to defend 'democracy' against 'dictatorship', 'tyranny', etc. To allow the truth about Katyn to have come out might seriously have dented this image of the struggle by exposing their ally Stalin as the very worst kind of dictator, which of course he was. In addition, it certainly would not have aided the scheme the Government was, even then, hatching with US President Roosevelt to hand over Eastern Europe and a large part of Germany to Soviet domination.

At all events, the British Government's official denials of what it well knew to be true sat very oddly with claims that it was only the enemy who told lies.

### LIE MAINTAINED

Extraordinarily, nothing changed in the post-war years. Even when 'democracy' and 'freedom' were once again said to be threatened, this time by none other than the Soviet Union, STILL the Government would not come clean.

Not only would they not tell the truth directly, they also tried to stop it being aired by anyone else.

When exiled Poles and their friends in Britain sought to draw attention to the dead of Katyn by putting up a memorial to them in London back in the 1970s, the then Labour Government did all in its power to stymie the scheme. Even the Church of England was in on the plot, vetoing the offer of a burial site by Kensington & Chelsea Borough Council.

When, in the teeth of all official opposition, a memorial was eventually

erected, the Government, in a final show of pettiness, threatened to court-martial any serving British officer attending its unveiling in uniform.

And things stayed the same under the Tories.

Margaret Thatcher may have been styled the 'Iron Lady' — a nickname given her by the Soviet press for her alleged 'determination' to stand up to the Kremlin's ambitions. But her Government has shown no more willingness to be forthright over Katyn than any before it.

As recently as August 1988, the Foreign Office ignored its own files to state that there was "no conclusive evidence" of Soviet guilt for Katyn. Only this March, with the Kremlin about to spill the beans, did the FO change its tune. Minister William Waldegrave at last went so far as to admit that there was "substantial circumstantial evidence" that the Soviets committed the slaughter.

It is worth noting that many other atrocities are now being frankly admitted by the Kremlin. Stalin is known to have murdered millions of his own countrymen. He butchered millions more in Central and Eastern Europe during and after the war.

Our leaders should have been as well placed to know the truth of these events as they were of Katyn. But they have been remarkably muted about them. This is certainly so in comparison to the noise that they raise, for example, when it is a case of crimes allegedly committed by the Nazis.

Overall, these facts must raise a few questions. If Britain's rulers saw fit to cover up the truth about Katyn in the way that they did, and for the length of time that they did, then how many other unpalatable truths about the last war might they not be sitting on? And how many more of the official propaganda claims of that time are there that will not stand the light of day? Finally, in view of the one-sided way in which our rulers have been prepared to stir up antagonism against one set of alleged criminals while ignoring the acts of others, to what final purpose does their suppression of the truth actually tend?



WINSTON SILCOTT  
One of the mobsters who killed PC Keith Blakelock in the Tottenham riots, he now has a powerful lobby campaigning on his behalf

Since being put inside, the Kray twins have also enjoyed the well-publicised patronage of bleeding-hearted Lord Longford, the champion of child-killer Myra Hindley. In however benign a light his Lordship might see his own intentions, this has certainly had the effect of keeping the twins in the public eye — and from their point of view no publicity is bad publicity.

It is true that the Krays have not yet been given complete respectability as heroes or martyrs like Mandela. Nor are they likely to be set free just like that. But it may, as yet, be early days for their cult.

Early days it might also be for some others. There have been recent TV docudramas on behalf of the 'Birmingham Six', for example. Gaoled for the IRA terror bombing of a pub in that city in which a number of wholly innocent people died, they are now being claimed not to have been the ones actually responsible. But even if not technically guilty of this specific act, they were still republican enemies of Britain who might have had strong sympathies, if not links, with those who were. Presumably they were not picked up by the police for nothing.

### ANTI-WHITE AND ANTI-BRITISH

It is the strongly anti-white and anti-British sentiments, just as much as the downright anti-social character, of the gaoled thugs who are forever being singled out for public sympathy which is so noticeable.

It still remains to be seen whether the

## YOUR LETTERS

Sir, — George Gale, writing in the *Daily Mail* last month, referred to a statement made by Jeremy Isaacs, general director of Covent Garden Opera, to the effect that: "If Britain wants great theatre and oper and ballet, it has to will the means to fund them."

To this, Gale replied: "I know of no great evidence that Britain gives a hoot about great opera or ballet. The tiny minority of British opera-goers and balletomanes who want it should 'will the means' to fund it themselves."

Isn't that just typical of a Tory writing in a Tory paper! If the vast majority of the British public prefer to imbibe cultural drivel, then they should be allowed to do so — presumably in obedience to the principle of 'market forces'. If cultural fare of real value cannot pay its way in the market place, then it should be allowed to become extinct. No wonder we are a nation of cultural philistines and morons.

The attitude on the Continent is very different — particularly in Germany. There the view is that it is one of the duties of the state to raise the cultural level of the population. It is accepted that large financial subsidies are necessary to this purpose. I wholly agree with this continental attitude. By investing in the promotion and maintenance of high cultural standards in this country, we are doing much more than just provide people with enjoyment; we are imbuing them with a healthier outlook in every way. Who knows, if we applied ourselves properly to this task, we may one day uncover a British Verdi or Wagner. Such a genius would be worth more than a thousand Paul McCartneys or Andrew Lloyd Webbers!

S.D. WILLIAMS (Mrs.)  
Swansea

Sir, — Not content with displaying the resolution of jellyfishes in response to the Strangeways prison riot, our authorities have now gone one better: they have allowed one of the leading rioters, Darren Jones, to walk out of the prison a free man only a short time afterwards. Apparently Jones' time was up. It didn't seem to occur to anyone to prosecute him for his part in the rioting. What a bunch of softies we in this country are becoming!

T.G. MARTIN  
Sheringham, Norfolk

Sir, — I see that the mass media have tried desperately to whip up enthusiasm for a grand day of salute to John Lennon on the occasion of the fiftieth anniversary of his birth. This was entirely to be expected. Lennon was a wholly undesirable influence on the youth of this country and the western world, with his flabby pacifism and his advocacy of drug-taking. Interestingly enough, although he made a huge fortune very little of this money ever went into the causes in which he professed to believe.

I was therefore glad to see that the open-air concert organised in commemoration of Lennon in his home city of Liverpool was something of a flop, with only about a third of the expected number of 45,000 turning up.

D. FIRTH  
London S.E.9



REMEMBERING THE DEAD  
A Pole places flowers at a memorial to the Katyn victims in Warsaw



## Why Scots are turning to the BNP

REPORT FROM GLASGOW BY HARRY MULLIN

THE LABOUR PARTY has introduced compulsory 'racial awareness' classes into the city of Glasgow, and this looks like being the norm in other Labour-controlled cities. In order to sweeten the bitter pill the local government officers have to swallow at these classes, an eight-course meal is served, complete with choice of either red or white wine. Note: no local government officer is permitted to opt out of these classes; they are definitely compulsory.

The meal, by the way, is usually some sort of curry-flavoured effort. Who picks up the tab for all this free junketing? Well, as you might guess, it is the long-suffering local taxpayer. No wonder the poll-tax is so high in Labour-controlled areas!

In these 'racial awareness' classes the Whites have to sit like a load of dummies and let an arrogant Asian 'racial awareness' guru harangue them. He tells the Whites that they are all, at the very least, 'passive racists'. The Whites are stewing with rage, but they dare not give this bumptious alien the reply he deserves. They are all afraid of having something like "shows active racist tendencies" written across their conduct sheets. It would spell death to any future promotion prospects they might have, and indeed might even cost them their present jobs. What was it Orwell said about 'Big Brother'?

I am glad to relate that the classes are actually having the very opposite effect from that intended. The arrogance of the Asian gurus is causing a deep anger to rise amongst the Whites who have to attend. Some that I know are just stewing with rage.

\*\*\*

LOCAL GOVERNMENT officers in the Glasgow District Housing Department have been accused of 'racial discrimination' in the allocating of houses. This was reported in a study carried out by some group in Stirling University. Here is the actual story.

Part of the Charing Cross district of the city is under redevelopment. Old tenement blocks are to be pulled down. Most of the people still residing in the old properties belong to the ethnic minorities. Under the law that obtains in this city, these people are able to approach the Housing Department to demand to be rehoused.

Glasgow has a points system in operation. The ethnic minority members were given forms to fill up, and their points were counted. They were then advised that they could get housing on the outer periphery of the city, in places like Easterhouse or Castlemilk, where great masses of white working-class folk live. This is the rule of the points system. However, the members of the ethnic minorities were not happy about that. They want to live in the inner-city housing stock; but these dwellings are definitely superior to the white working-class ones mentioned, and require more points. Thus, the members of the ethnic minorities approached one of their pressure groups, and they screamed 'racial discrimination'.

The Glasgow press, of course, all raged away about how terribly unfair it all was to discriminate against the poor, poor ethnic minorities, and, as was to be expected, the local political hacks of various shades of red all jumped on the bandwagon in order to win votes to keep their seats. Great pressure was brought to bear upon the management of the Housing Department, and it of course caved in — anything for a quiet life! As a result of all this foul political machination, these aliens were all given the extra points needed to rehouse them in inner-city areas like Charing Cross. This is something no white working-class family could hope to get. This is blatant racial discrimination in favour of the ethnic minorities.

\*\*\*

A TENEMENT BLOCK in the West End of Glasgow, off Woodlands Road, has been renovated, and is now ready to house tenants. The city's Housing Department asked tenants if they minded being mixed with people of other races in the allocation of flats to be let. The Whites said they did not mind (no doubt influenced by the thought that they would be put on the

# ABORTION: POLITICIANS SKATING ROUND THE VITAL ISSUE

LAST MONTH parliament engaged in a passionate debate on the abortion issue. Was the debate over whether abortion should continue to be legalised and millions of British children murdered before birth, as in the past? No, the issue was merely whether the legal limit for abortions should be cut from 28 weeks to 24!

In the end, by a very substantial majority of 409 votes to 152, the Commons decided to approve the change. At the same time they voted against a further reduction to 18 weeks. The majority obtained here was still substantial: 375 votes to 165.

The difference dividing those who favoured the retention of the former 28-week limit and those who supported the new 24-week one seems to have been based primarily on medical arguments, and the moral and religious arguments stemming from those medical arguments. The 24-week limit was in fact recommended by the Royal College of Gynaecologists and Obstetricians. It met with fierce opposition from the die-hard pro-abortion lobby, which saw it as a sop to their anti-abortion opponents (which it was not) and maintained that it compromised woman's 'right' to terminate her own pregnancy. On the opposite side, argument in favour of the change centred upon the degree of foetus formation at the 24-week and 28-week periods respectively and the bearing that has on the question of whether a human life is involved. In other words, terminating a pregnancy 28 weeks after its start involves taking a human life, whereas doing so 24 weeks afterwards does not!

## OUR RACE'S FUTURE

Speaking for myself, I regard these arguments as piffling and inconsequential beside the central and crucial

argument: this is the argument about whether we are going to produce enough healthy and normal British children to ensure that our nation and race have a future.

Where medical evidence gives strong reason for us to suppose that a child in the womb is likely to be born with some serious handicap, or alternatively where the same medical evidence indicates some real risk to the health or life of the mother if birth takes place, then abortion would seem to me to be justified — regardless of how far the pregnancy has proceeded.


But where evidence of neither such a danger exists, and where in consequence we can presume that the child born will be normal and the mother will not suffer, abortion — in any circumstances — is criminal. And it is criminal first and foremost because it is depriving our nation of children that it urgently needs.

## THREE MILLION LOST

Tory MP Bernard Braine strongly opposes abortion *per se*, and he does so primarily on moral and religious grounds rather than racial or genetic ones. However, he did contribute a valuable point to the debate when he said that since the Abortion Act became law no less than three million children who would have been born in this country have not been born.

Assuming that the great majority of these three million unborn children would have come from healthy British stock, that represents an appalling loss to the nation. It is a loss that we are now starting to feel, in the way of a shortage of recruits to many vital industries, trades and professions — not least the

**FUTURE OF OUR RACE IN JEOPARDY**  
Since the introduction of the Abortion Law, millions of healthy white British children, like this one, have been murdered before they could be born



armed forces.

Amongst the white nations of the world, in which similar pro-abortion laws operate, there is a corresponding loss of healthy children, resulting in a state of racial infertility nearly everywhere.

On the other hand, in the coloured world, where abortion is only being practised on a minute scale in relation to the size of populations, there is an unparalleled rate of fertility. This is of course also aided by the very low level of the practice of contraception.

The result? Non-Whites breeding like rabbits while Whites are only breeding at bare replacement rate.

A few more generations of this and the world will belong to the non-Whites while the Whites will be in an ever decreasing minority.

This, and this above everything, is the issue at the centre of the abortion debate — and yet there is no record of it even being mentioned when the debate took place in parliament last month!

It provides just one more illustration of the useless waffling shop that our parliament has become. The way in which it argues minor issues on the periphery of national affairs while the nation itself is dying a gradual racial death reminds you of Nero playing his fiddle while Rome burned.

## Gutter press plumbs new depths

### VICIOUS LIE CAMPAIGN IN S. LONDON

SOUTH LONDON readers of our paper will be aware that in their region there exists one of the very worst anti-nationalist rags to be found anywhere in the newspaper world. We are referring to the infamous *South London Press*.

In the run-up to the recent local government elections, the *SLP* really excelled itself, with a vicious smear campaign directed against the British National Party.

In its issue for May 1st the paper featured screaming headlines on the front page saying 'Campaign of hate!', pre-headed by 'Councillor receives death threat'. Apparently what happened was that an Asian woman Labour councillor standing in a ward in Greenwich, by name Kanta Patel, got it into her head to tell the paper that she and some of her fellow Asians had

received 'death threats' which she claimed had come from the BNP. According to Mrs. Patel's story, over a period of 10 months she had had more than 50 threatening messages left on her answerphone. "The caller," she said, "identified himself as a member of the BNP." On one occasion, she claimed, he had threatened to cut out her liver and kidneys and send them to her children!

Two other Asian Labour candidates, Andrew Yunge Gordon and Satish Rai, also claimed they had been BNP targets. Mr. Gordon said that an arson attempt had been made on his home, while Mr. Rai said that damage had been inflicted on his landlady's car. Neither of the two produced a shred of evidence to suggest that the BNP had been responsible for either incident, but they assumed that the party

must have been involved because both of them had been active in anti-BNP campaigns.

The suggestion that the BNP could have been behind these attacks, or that it would have anything to gain by threatening phone calls to Mrs. Patel, is ridiculous beyond belief. Nevertheless, the *South London Press* was only too eager to swallow the stories hook, line and sinker and dutifully print reports of them, without the slightest attempt to contact the BNP for corroboration or rebuttal.

Bearing in mind Mrs. Patel's claim that the 'threats' she had received had been going on for 10 months, why did the *SLP* choose to report the matter on May 1st? Could it have been because the elections were then only two days away?

## Councillor receives death threat

# CAMPAIGN OF HATE!

By DELENE WALKER

A CAMPAIGN of terror is being waged against black Labour candidates in Thursday's elections.

The three black candidates for Greenwich council have been the target of a racist vendetta waged by the right-wing British Nationalist Party.

In the run-up to the elections it has included a death threat to one candidate, an arson attack on another and an attack on property.

Kanta Patel, a serving councillor, says she has been forced to seek protection from fellow black section members.

During the 10 month campaign she has had more than 50 threatening messages left on her answerphone at her Woolwich home.

ELECTION LASTEST page 2

"The caller identified himself as a member of the BNP."

**'No one will force me to give up'**

has been taken very seriously". Greenwich Labour Party has responded by pledging its support for the three candidates. Party spokesman Paul Harrington said, "We have been informed of each incident as it has arisen, and we have been offering the three candidates moral and practical support. Ethnic police have confirmed that a racial motive is one line of investigation for the alleged arson attack. And Woolwich police stressed that the death threats to Mrs. Patel are being investigated "as a major crime, as well as a



© Kanta Patel

## WHAT ABOUT THE ARMAGH FOUR?

(Contd. from front page)

would guess — because there has been no comparable nationwide campaign waged on their behalf by the media. Britain's 'liberal' establishment, always so vociferous in support of the enemies of this country (and the Guildford Four WERE enemies of British Ulster, whether or not they actually planted the bomb), have been strangely mute over the fate suffered by these men. One or two words have been said in the press on their behalf, but there has been nothing remotely on the scale of the support given to those accused and jailed for the Guildford outrage.

Why? Very simple. The Armagh Four are LOYALISTS. They were officers of the Ulster Defence Regiment who were charged with the murder of a young republican that took place in 1983. The men, Jim Hagan, Winston Allen, Neil Latimer and Noel Bell, were convicted on evidence that subsequent investigation has established is very suspect.

At around 4.30 p.m. on November

8th 1983, Adrian Carroll was shot in an alley off Abbey Street, Armagh. The murder was claimed by a group calling itself the 'Protestant Action Force'.

## DESCRIPTION DIDN'T FIT

One witness, Elaine Faulkner, was two yards away from the gunman. She said he was about 5ft 2in.

None of the convicted four fits this description.

18 days later, a new witness, unnamed, told a local priest, Father Murray, known for his hatred of the UDR, that she had seen a UDR patrol behaving strangely in Lonsdale Street, near the murder.

She claimed she had seen a man in civilian clothes being escorted from the local technical college into a UDR Land Rover. She said his clothes and glasses fitted the description she saw on BBC-TV news on the night of

the murder. It was in fact proved in court that she could NOT have seen the broadcast.

Following Father Murray's advice, this witness told her story to the RUC, identifying the civilian as Neil Latimer, one of the Armagh Four, who is 5ft 10in in height!

All six in the UDR patrol were charged with the murder after signing statements which they claimed were obtained by trickery and brutality.

In the trial the judge ignored Elaine Faulkner's evidence concerning the height of the gunman. He also ignored the evidence of people who said that the gunman escaped in a direction opposite to that claimed by the prosecution.

The unnamed witness, a Catholic, told a TV interviewer in February last year that she was tricked into naming Neil Latimer as the gunman after police had told her that another witness had also named him.

The judge released one UDR soldier during the trial after ruling that his statement was inadmissible — because RUC interrogators played on his weakness and told lies.

Noel Bell, one of the convicted four, gave evidence to show that he was punched in the chest and testicles by RUC men desperate to get his signature to a prepared statement.

All in all, this adds up to what looks like a case which should most certainly be reopened, just as the Guildford case was reopened.

The handicap the Armagh Four suffer is that, unlike, the Guildford Four, they are on OUR side — the BRITISH side!

That, undoubtedly, is why their case has not received the sympathetic attention from the media that the Guildford Four received.

It tells you something about the kind of people who control 'public opinion', doesn't it?







# EAST END RALLY HITS HEADLINES

IN THE DAYS leading up to the British National Party election rally in London's East End on April 21st, some sections of the media conducted a quite hysterical campaign against the party, the implication being that it had no right to hold a public meeting in a part of its own country. Big emphasis was put on the fact that the area (Spitalfields) houses a large Bangladeshi population. From this one might conclude that it had become Bangladeshi territory. The BNP, on the contrary, dared to take the view that the area is still British territory and not out of bounds to British people! The event was one of the best attended for years — the only trouble

being that the hall, the only one the local council would let us have, was much too small for the number of people who wanted to come in. A number close to 200 turned up but barely more than 100 were able to get into the premises. Some were obliged to go home disappointed.

En route to the rally from the assembly point a number of affrays took place involving BNP people and left-wing opponents. The details of these are given on the right of this report.

## INSIDE THE HALL

A section of the audience

Photo by Ian Dell



## OUTSIDE

As the BNP party left the hall after the meeting this rabble hurled abuse at them — from the safety of behind an iron fence

Photo by Robert Best



THE CANDIDATE  
Ken Walsh addresses the rally

Photo by Ian Dell

At the meeting which eventually began a tremendous atmosphere was generated. At the front of the hall before the platform was a sea of press and TV cameras, indicating the media interest in the event. Finally the meeting began with a stirring wind-up speech by Richard Edmonds. Afterwards David Bruce spoke, and Ken Walsh, the candidate in the local ward, also had a

few words, making an excellent impression with his maiden speech.

David Bruce came back and called for the collection, raising the magnificent figure of £520. The meeting then came towards its end with the final speech by John Tyndall. This was followed by a short question time.

## INVITATION DECLINED

Just before the meeting began, a BNP messenger approached the left-wing mob outside and said that, as members of the public, they were entitled to come into the meeting and would be welcome to do so. The reds, who had been very

big and brave a little while beforehand in picking on small and isolated groups of nationalists and attacking them, declined this invitation to come into the hall where a large body of BNP stewards was present!

The rally made enormous publicity in the London area. Apart from reports before the event, it was mentioned afterwards on both BBC and independent London TV news networks and was seen by many millions. There were also extensive radio reports and some small reports in the national Sunday newspapers the next morning. Needless to say, the event dominated the East London press in the week following.

## Jewish magazine threatens TV producers

**SEARCHLIGHT** magazine, that scurrilous hate-sheet churned out by far left-wing Jews in opposition to the British Nationalist movement, was really choked last month!

The magazine, on the admission of its editorial, gave its glad collaboration to the producers of the *Scottish Eye* programme 'Right connections', which was focused on the British National Party and its activities in Scotland. Quite obviously, *Searchlight* and its lackey, former nationalist renegade Ray Hill, who also took part in the programme, presumed that it would be the usual hatchet job on the BNP, full of vicious smears which party spokesmen would have no opportunity to answer.

In this, both *Searchlight* and Hill were bitterly disappointed. The programme was, by normal TV standards, comparatively fair. BNP spokesmen, who included John Tyndall and the party's Scottish organiser Eric Brand, were given a hearing and allowed to state their case. In 'democratic' Britain that really is inexcusable!

All of our readers who saw the programme have said that the BNP came out of it extremely well. This, evidently is the view of the *Searchlight* Editor. In an hysterical editorial he moaned about the time given to the

BNP representatives and said that both *Searchlight* and Ray Hill "have now publicly dissociated themselves from the programme that was transmitted on 17 March."

Dear oh dear! The editorial went on to complain about "men with serious criminal convictions" being "produced on screen as almost normal politicians..." Well, *Searchlight* should know all about criminal convictions, since several of its own operatives have records in this respect, the offences ranging from breaking and entering to outright arson. However, we will gloss over that...

But *Searchlight* really revealed itself when its editorial stated in the final paragraph, with reference to the programme: "...but rest assured,

members of the National Union of Journalists and the television union ACTT are not going to give them a second chance." (In the original text, reproduced below, 'chance' is mistyped as 'change' — perhaps the typesetter by this stage was so foaming at the mouth that he got careless!)

So, we have been warned! *Searchlight*, through its pals in the NUJ and ACTT, is going to crack the whip. They, apparently, it is who decide who has the right to appear and speak on Britain's television screens and who does not. Thanks, *Searchlight*, for letting us know!

Perhaps we also ought to send a message of thanks to Mr. Ray Hill — something we never imagined we would be doing — for so fluffing his performance in the programme that he did the BNP and its leadership far more good than harm. One wonders what kind of a rocket Ray received afterwards from his paymasters.

When a senior official at Channel Four Television asked *Searchlight* to assist with research on the *Scottish Eye* programme "Right Connections", we were only too pleased to help. We had several meetings with the programme's reporter and put him in touch with Ray Hill. *Searchlight* and Ray Hill have now publicly dissociated themselves from the programme that was transmitted on 17 March.

Anti-fascists all over the country have complained that the programme was a whitewash of the BNP, an organisation that can only be described as criminal. Men with serious criminal convictions were produced on screen as almost normal politicians, raving anti-semites were portrayed as kindly old gentlemen and members of the Ku Klux Klan appeared to be members of some friendly society. Skyline Productions, the makers of the programme, have got away with it once, but rest assured, members of the National Union of Journalists and the television union ACTT are not going to allow them a second change. In particular the Asian and Jewish communities in Scotland, who have been at the sharp end in fighting off vicious BNP attacks in recent months, deserve much better than the programme Channel Four allowed to be screened.

## SEARCHLIGHT LAYS DOWN THE LAW

This is a reproduction of the final two paragraphs of the Editorial in *Searchlight* magazine's April issue. We are assured by the Editor in the section underlined that the producers of the *Scottish Eye* programme are not going to be allowed to get away with it again!

## Set up — by the police!

READERS should know that this paper, and the British National Party that it supports, have generally maintained an attitude favourable to Britain's police. Not for us the 'anti-police' bias of the left!

This, however, does not mean that when individual police officers act wrongly we will not condemn them. This is an occasion that calls for just such condemnation.

In negotiations with the police in the run-up to the East End rally on April 21st BNP officials secured a firm commitment concerning pre-rally assembly. This was that party supporters would be permitted to rendezvous by Bethnal Green Underground and then proceed in one single body to the rally location. This was for the purposes of security. Left-wing opposition was expected, and it was known that this would be violent if the leftists were given any opportunity to pick on BNP people in ones and twos or in small groups; on the other hand, if all BNP personnel stuck together in one solid phalanx the leftists would not dare to attack them.

However, when the final day came and it was time for BNP personnel to proceed from their rendezvous to the hall, the police officer in charge insisted that they do so in small groups some distance apart. This was a decision of the most monumental stupidity (or worse). In that event the left would be presented with easy targets for attack — unless of course there was sufficient of a police presence all along the route to ensure that these small BNP groups would be protected.

The latter proved not to be the case. Police numbers along the route were woefully inadequate, and at some points non-existent. The left did exactly as anticipated: they made several attacks in sectors where they were able to pick on the BNP in tiny numbers. A number of BNP supporters suffered injuries, one of them an elderly pensioner. Another received a hairline fracture of the skull and had to be taken to hospital.

And this was not all. In some cases where BNP men defended themselves vigorously against the left, despite being outnumbered, THEY (the BNP) were arrested for 'breaches of the peace'.

After the rally had ended, the police, seeming to have recognised their folly of earlier in the day, allowed the BNP contingent to proceed as one solid mass from the hall to a place outside the area. This body looked formidable indeed, consisting of 100-200 people, many of them hefty young men well able to deal with trouble. The reds wouldn't have stood a hope if they had tried to rush this body. They contented themselves with hurling verbal abuse from behind an iron fence and with plenty of police (who had been strangely absent earlier) to protect them.

We are not going to allow our feelings over this police mishandling of events to provoke us into making an attack on the police in general. The vast majority of the officers present on the occasion were just ordinary coppers carrying out the orders of their superiors; they had no choice in the way they were deployed.

But the actions of the senior officer in charge, one Chief Superintendent Grieve, do deserve the strongest possible condemnation. The BNP will be lodging an official complaint against this officer, whose handling of affairs resulted in the needless injuries to several BNP supporters.

We are glad to be able to report, on the other hand, that the day did not pass without a good many leftists being repaid in their own coin. Where BNP contingents encountered them on terms of numerical equality, they were well thrashed. Well done, those who took part in this necessary operation!

## GLASGOW REPORT

(Contd. from page 5)

council 'black list' if they said otherwise). However, the Asians all said, through their representatives, that they only wanted to live up a stair with other Asians.

As a result, it now looks as if the whole block of flats will be allocated only to Asians. What is this but *apartheid* being exercised against Whites — and in their own country at that?

This very clearly has to end. Local government authorities must cease their policies of anti-white discrimination. On the contrary, they should show preference to British people before aliens. We are the natural citizens of this nation. It is our country. Now the Labourites are ready to give parts of it away in order to hang onto the fat-salaried white-collar jobs they are enjoying off the public purse. It is shameful.

## Contact your local party unit

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY is organised into active units extending over most of the areas of the United Kingdom. Below we give a list of the main units:-

**NORTH WEST LONDON**  
BM Box 3958, London WC1N 3XX

**EAST LONDON**  
PO Box 300, Emmas Street,  
Hackney, London E2 7BZ

**HILLINGDON**  
PO Box 275, Uxbridge,  
Middlesex UB10 8XU

**SOUTH WEST LONDON**  
BCM Box 5103, London WC1N 3XX

**SOUTH EAST LONDON**  
PO Box 117, Welling,  
Kent DA16 3DW

**BARKING & DAGENHAM**  
PO Box 12, Dagenham,  
Essex RM10 7HE

**EPPING FOREST**  
PO Box 12, Loughton,  
Essex IG10 2DN

**WEST KENT**  
PO Box 48, Tonbridge TN11 9JF

**EAST KENT**  
73 Shirkoak Park, Ashford TN26 3QP

**SUFFOLK**  
2 Albert Road, Framlingham

**NORFOLK**  
9 Johnson Place, Norwich NR2 2SA

**MILTON KEYNES**  
PO Box 811, Bradwell Common,  
Milton Keynes, Bucks. MK13 8YZ

**SOUTH WALES**  
PO Box 214, Cardiff CF3 9YB

**NORTH WALES**  
PO Box 3, Llangefni,  
Anglesey L77 7UY

**BIRMINGHAM**  
PO Box 771, Great Barr,  
Birmingham B44 9LZ

**LEICESTER**  
PO Box 148, Leicester LE3 2YD

**PETERBOROUGH**  
PO Box 211, Peterborough,  
Cams. PE3 8JE

**NOTTINGHAM**  
PO Box 2, Carlton,  
Nottingham NG4 3EQ

**WEST NOTTS.**  
PO Box 5, Eastwood,  
Notts. NG16 3RN

**LIVERPOOL**  
PO Box 116, Liverpool L69 1SH

**MANCHESTER & SALFORD**  
PO Box 16, Salford M6 5EP

**LEEDS & BRADFORD**  
PO Box BR10, Bramley, Leeds 13

**HUDDERSFIELD**  
PO Box A43, Huddersfield HD1 4NN

**WAKEFIELD**  
PO Box 101, Wakefield WF2 9XY

**SHEFFIELD**  
PO Box 44, Sheffield S1 1ES

**DARLINGTON**  
PO Box 61, Darlington,  
Co. Durham DL3 9RD

**TYNE & WEAR**  
PO Box 8, South Shields NE33 1LP

**EDINBURGH**  
PO Box 719, NWDO,  
Edinburgh EH4 2SR

**GLASGOW**  
PO Box 85, Glasgow G3 8UL

**PAISLEY**  
PO Box 72, Paisley, Renf. PA2 0BH

**DUNDEE**  
PO Box 161, Dundee DD1 9YR

The BNP also has units in Richmond-on-Thames, Newmarket, Colchester, Gloucestershire, Hertfordshire, Bedford, Stourbridge, North Notts., Bolton & Bury, Warrington, Blackpool, Lancaster, Halifax, Hull, Dewsbury, York, Tees-side, Sunderland, Stirling & Alloa, Aberdeen and Inverness. If you want to make contact with any of these units, or start a new unit, please write to BNP Head Office at PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

## Regional Focus postponed

Due to a hold-up in the supply of certain needed information, our *Regional Focus*, which would have been continued this month, has been put back to the next issue, which will come out at the beginning of July. We hope readers will bear with us over this.

T.W.



## Tyndall to visit US this month

JOHN TYNDALL, British National Party leader, will be making a two-week speaking tour of the United States in the final week of May and the first week of June. The trip is being sponsored by the Populist Party, an American patriotic organisation.



JOHN TYNDALL

Mr. Tyndall will be speaking at the Populist Party convention in Winston Salem (North Carolina) on Saturday, May 26th; in Atlanta (Georgia) on Wednesday, May 30th (not a Populist Party meeting), near Pittsburgh (Pennsylvania) on Saturday, June 2nd, and in Newark (New Jersey) on Tuesday, June 5th.

Those wishing to obtain details about the Winston Salem, Pittsburgh and Newark meetings should contact the Populist Party at PO Box 1989, Ford City, Pa. 16226. Those wishing to find about the Atlanta meeting should write to: PO Box 1281, Marietta, Ga. 30061.

As a result of Mr. Tyndall's absence abroad, those correspondents who write to him will experience some delay in getting replies, both during and after his trip.

### NEW BUILDING FUND: £763.13 NEEDED!

Last month was a really good month for contributions to the New Building Fund, with £482.87 raised, including nearly £300 from Mr. R. Viering in the United States. Let's try and keep up this performance in May and June!

Please send all contributions to the New Building Fund, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. Please give generously!

#### SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of national politics, read *Spearhead*, a 20-page monthly magazine which supports the British National Party. Price 60p. Yearly subscription £10.50. 84p for sample copy to: *Spearhead*, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

#### BUY BRITISH NATIONALIST IN BULK

*British Nationalist* retails at 25p from your local seller. Would bulk-purchasers order only in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies	£2.50	+ 70p post
25 copies	£5.50	+ £2.30 post
50 copies	£10.00	+ £2.85 post
100 copies	£17.50	+ £3.30 post
150 copies	£25.00	+ £3.80 post
200 copies	£30.00	+ £4.20 post
300 copies	£42.00	+ £5.50 post
400 copies	£55.00	+ £5.50 post
500 copies	£65.00	+ Bulk rates
1,000 copies	£125.00	+ by Roadline

Cheques/postal orders to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *British Nationalist* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

# COUNCIL ELECTION REPORT

worthy in the face of a really vicious local smear campaign conducted against the BNP by the *South London Press*, a real gutter rag. More details of this campaign can be found in a report on page five.

Another candidate who polled well was Mrs. Isabel Hernon, who stood in the Debden Green Ward of Epping Forest. Mrs. Hernon won 97 votes, which came to 5.2 per-cent of the total.

Ken Walsh, standing in the Spitalfields Ward of Tower Hamlets, obtained 70 votes, which came to 3.3 per-cent of the poll. These figures may seem unremarkable until it is realised that an estimated 75 per-cent of the electors in the ward are Asians, mainly from Bangladesh. Bearing in mind that a greater portion of these Asians voted than did the Whites, it is estimated that Mr. Walsh in fact won about 17 per-cent of the actual white vote in the area!

In Tower Hamlets the BNP was, right from the beginning, labouring under a big handicap. As door-to-door canvassing clearly established, many voters would have been prepared to vote for the BNP but for their determination to prevent Labour getting elected. As shown throughout the capital, a great

many working-class London voters really hate Labour, whose petty tyrants on borough councils have made life a misery for white residents by their constant favouring of ethnic and other 'minority' groups. In Tower Hamlets, where the Tories are almost extinct, the best chance of keeping Labour out lay with voting for the Liberal Democrats — almost as bad as Labour in their anti-white attitudes but seen by the local electors as the lesser evil of the two. The Liberal Democrats in fact strengthened their hold on Tower Hamlets Council largely due to the support of white voters who would otherwise have backed the BNP.

The other BNP candidates fighting in Tower Hamlets were: Derek Beackon (Redcoat Ward) who obtained 93 votes (3.7 per-cent of the poll) and David Ettridge (St. James Ward) who also obtained 93 votes (4.03 per-cent of the poll). The disparity between the votes achieved by these candidates and that won by Stephen Smith in Holy Trinity is believed to be accounted for by the fact that the Liberal Democrat candidate in Holy Trinity was an Asian!

In the Epping Forest area the chief handicap in the way of the BNP was its thin-ness on the ground in the way of local campaigners. The branch set an ambitious target in fighting four wards, and its resources were rather stretched. The other three candidates did not do as well as Mrs. Hernon, but in the circumstances neither did they do badly. The figures were: Steve Turnell (Loughton Roding Ward) 52 votes (2.3 per-cent); Bob Jarvis (Debden Broadway Ward) 75 votes (3.2 per-cent) and Sean Hernon (Paternoster Ward) 25 votes (1.5 per-cent).

Over in West London, the BNP staged a first-effort campaign in the Feltham South Ward of Hounslow, where candidate Michael O'Rourke won 93 votes (4 per-cent of the poll). This was a good effort in view of the fact that the party had no presence at all in the area up till a very short time ago. West London was until recently almost a desert in the way of BNP activity. In recent months this has dramatically changed, but it was very early days to expect a big vote in a place like Hounslow, and so Michael O'Rourke's performance can be seen as a very respectable one, particularly as he did not have a large campaigning team.

Throughout the 1980s, as our readers will know, the BNP has invested very limited effort and money in electoral activity. This has been a carefully calculated policy, occasioned by the realisation that during that decade the political climate in Britain provided very little possibility of good results. In this regard the BNP avoided the errors of some other nationalist groups, which continued to place big emphasis on the

fighting of elections, seeming to fail completely to understand that the eighties were an entirely different decade from the one preceding it. This failure to recognise the limits of what was possible at the time resulted in the throwing of vast amounts of money and human effort down the drain with almost zero to show for it at the end.

There is now no doubt that in this new decade we are entering a period in which the British public is awakening from out of its long sleep and is ready again to look at parties which stand for truly radical change. The end of the honeymoon with Mrs. Thatcher is certainly a major reason for this.

With this fact in mind, the BNP has made the first tentative steps towards a larger commitment of manpower and

money to electoral activities, having in the preceding years carefully conserved resources for investment in other, more profitable, enterprises — the main one of which was the acquisition and opening up of the party's bookshop in Welling.

The results obtained in the recent elections, especially those by Stephen Smith, Ken Walsh, Steve Tyler and Isabel Hernon, are an encouraging sign of the potential that the BNP now has in the way of popular support. As the party expands in the 1990s — and it is now expanding at a greater rate than ever before — its challenge at the polls will become bigger and bigger. Watch this space!

## BNP reawakens in Yorkshire

Report from  
EDDY MORRISON

LAST YEAR saw the BNP in Yorkshire hitting the headlines with a big outdoor public meeting in Dewsbury which made national publicity. Things then quietened down somewhat, and for the latter part of 1989 the party in the region was just ticking over.

This year, however, has seen a big reawakening and expansion of the BNP in the Yorkshire area. To date, Yorkshire BNP has held four Leeds branch meetings, four regional rallies with guest speakers, started a regional newsletter, *The Northlander* (now in its fifth issue), hosted a St. George's Day social, with nationalists from other groups attending, held a training seminar in York, sent delegations to big BNP events in other regions, increased its propaganda output by 200 per-cent, launched 'Operation Prodigal' — the circulation of BNP literature and a special letter to hundreds of lapsed Yorkshire patriots, completely revitalised the regional structure with a new body of officers, started the BNP moving again in a number of areas where it had ground to a halt, notably Bradford and Hull,

increased the weekly *British Nationalist* sales force, which has been achieving record sales, and enormously stepped up sticker and leaflet distribution.

For the future, the BNP in Yorkshire is committed to holding monthly training seminars to educate our new supporters in our creed of race and nation. We also aim to build the monthly regional rallies into very big events, with a goal this year of attracting a hundred people to a regional meeting. A Regional Fighting Fund has been established, and its aim is for the Yorkshire area to obtain the facilities to produce its own propaganda, part of which will involve the purchase of a word-processor. Other short-term aims include a possible nationalist bookshop for the North and eventually a social centre.

In Yorkshire the BNP is busily preparing the ground structure for much bigger expansion in the future. Whilst we applaud our party comrades in Scotland, the Midlands and London on their recent successes, we aim to give them a good run for their money in the race to establish white nationalism in Britain.

## What we stand for

- The establishment of a government of national unity that will put an end to nationally-divisive party warfare; the return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs
- A end to immigration and a start to repatriation
- British withdrawal from the Common Market
- The disbanding of the multi-racial Commonwealth and its replacement by a new Commonwealth of self-governing white states
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources
- Protection of British industry by the selective exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain
- An end to the financial swindle that causes inflation and slump, and its replacement by a sane and fair financial system that will give the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce
- The subordination of the power of the City to the power of government, and the harnessing of the City to the needs of British industry
- The regeneration of British farming with the object of achieving the maximum possible self-sufficiency in food production
- A healthy environment for a healthy people — the protection of our countryside and an end to the architectural disfigurement of our towns and cities
- Tougher treatment of criminals, including the return of the death penalty
- An alternative defence strategy: withdrawal from NATO, closure of all foreign military and air bases in Britain, the strengthening of Britain's defences and a position of armed neutrality
- A end to overseas aid and the allocation of the money saved to the financing of repatriation and greater help for the needy at home
- The crushing of the IRA, the termination of the Anglo-Irish Agreement and firm support for the loyalist majority in Northern Ireland
- Repeal of the laws permitting homosexuality and abortion (except where the latter is the result of rape or necessary on medical grounds), the wiping out of AIDS and a return to healthy moral values aimed at strengthening the family and community

### WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW  
(Tick appropriate box):-

Please send me more information, for which I enclose 30p ☐

I wish to become a member and enclose the yearly fee of £12 (half-rate for OAPs and students) ☐

Name.....  
Address.....

### New telephone code

Would all readers please note that, as from May 6th, the BNP Welling Bookshop telephone number will be 081 316 4721 — the change here being necessitated by an alteration to the system of dialling codes in the Greater London area.

### Two-month issue

As readers may have noticed, this issue of *British Nationalist* is a two-month issue. This is necessitated by other demands on the time of certain of the production staff over the next few weeks. The next issue will be published at the beginning of July.

This will make no difference to subscribers, who will still receive the twelve issues for which they have paid.

### SUBSCRIPTIONS

Have you paid your 1990 BNP subscription yet? If not, please send it without further delay to: PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

## PUBLIC RALLY DUNDEE

Saturday, May 12th

SPEAKERS WILL INCLUDE:-

JOHN TYNDALL  
HARRY MULLIN  
GUS McLEOD

Rendezvous for redirection: Forecourt of Dundee Railway Station — 1.00 to 1.30 p.m.

ORGANISED BY BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY SCOTTISH REGION

## SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *British Nationalist* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £5.15 for 12 issues to subscribers in the British Isles and £7.00 (unsealed surface mail) for subscribers overseas. Please make cheques/POs payable to *British Nationalist* and send them to: PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

An additional charge of £5.50 is required on all foreign currency cheques and POs and an additional £2 on all foreign currency sent in banknotes.

Name.....  
Address.....